PIk1 Phosphorylates Sgt1 at the Kinetochore To Promote Timely Kinetochore-Microtubule Attachment

X. Shawn Liu, Bing Song, Jiabin Tang, Weiyi Liu, Shihuan Kuang and Xiaoqi Liu

Published Ahead of Print 6 August 2012.

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://mcb.asm.org/content/32/19/4053

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

These include:
http://mcb.asm.org/content/suppl/2012/09/06/MCB.00516-12.DCSupplemental.html

**REFERENCES**

This article cites 42 articles, 17 of which can be accessed free at:
http://mcb.asm.org/content/32/19/4053#ref-list-1

**CONTENT ALERTS**

Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new articles cite this article), [more](http://mcb.asm.org/content/32/19/4053#alertlist-1)

Information about commercial reprint orders: [http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml](http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml)

To subscribe to another ASM Journal go to: [http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/](http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/)
Plk1 Phosphorylates Sgt1 at the Kinetochores To Promote Timely Kinetochores-Microtubule Attachment

X. Shawn Liu, Bing Song, Jiabin Tang, Weiyi Liu, Shihuan Kuang, and Xiaoqi Liu

Department of Biochemistry, Department of Biological Sciences, Center for Cancer Research, and Department of Animal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

Accurate chromosome segregation during cell division maintains genomic integrity and requires the proper establishment of kinetochore-microtubule attachment in mitosis. As a key regulator of mitosis, Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) is essential for this attachment process, but the molecular mechanism remains elusive. Here we identify Sgt1, a cochaperone for Hsp90, as a novel Plk1 substrate during mitosis. We show that Sgt1 dynamically localizes at the kinetochores, which lack microtubule attachments during prometaphase. Plk1 is required for the kinetochore localization of Sgt1 and phosphorylates serine 331 of Sgt1 at the kinetochores. This phosphorylation event enhances the association of the Hsp90-Sgt1 chaperone with the MIS12 complex to stabilize this complex at the kinetochores and thus coordinates the recruitment of the NDC80 complex to form efficient microtubule-binding sites. Disruption of Sgt1 phosphorylation reduces the MIS12 and NDC80 complexes at the kinetochores, impairs stable microtubule attachment, and eventually results in chromosome misalignment to delay the anaphase onset. Our results demonstrate a mechanism for Plk1 in promoting kinetochore-microtubule attachment to ensure chromosome stability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture, RNA interference (RNAi), constructs, and transfection. HeLa cells and HEK 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 units/ml streptomycin at 37°C in 5% CO2. Human Sgt1 small interfering RNA (siRNA; 5'-AAGGCUUUGGAACACCGAAACCA-3') was obtained from Dharmacon (36). Plk1 siRNA (5'-AAGGGCGTCTTTGACCAAGTTGCTT-3') was from Dharmacon (25). Double-stranded siRNA was transfected with Oligofectamine reagent (Invitrogen) and plasmid DNA was transfected with MegaTran (Origene) as described by the manufacturers. Yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-hDsn1 and YFP-hNsl1 constructs were gifts from Iain Cheeseman (MIT).

In vitro kinase assay. Various glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged murine Sgt1 constructs were subcloned into pGEX-KG, expressed in Escherichia coli, and purified. Point mutations were generated by use of the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Purified recombinant Sgt1 was incubated with purified Plk1 in kinase reaction buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 10 mM MgCl2, 2 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM sodium vanadate, 100 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate, 25 mM diethiothreitol, 125 mM ATP) supplemented with 10 μCi of [γ-32P]ATP at 30°C for 30 min. The reaction mixtures were resolved by SDS-PAGE, stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB), dried, and subjected to autoradiography.
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Antibodies. The antibody against human Sgt1 was generated by Pro- teintech (Chicago, IL). This polyclonal antibody was affinity purified from immunized rabbits and recognizes in the SGS domain of Sgt1 (EKVRAMKKSFSMESGGTV). The phospho-specific antibody against pS331 of human Sgt1 was also generated by Proteintech. Briefly, a peptide containing phospho-S331 (EKVRAMKKSFSMESGGTV) was synthesized and used to immunize rabbits. After the antibodies were affinity purified, a series of control experiments was performed to confirm its specificity. Anti-Dsn1, anti-Nsl1, and anti-Nnf1 antibodies were gifts from Arshad Desai (UCSF). We also purchased the following antibodies: anti-Sgt1 (612104; Becton, Dickinson [BD]), anti-Plk1 (sc-17783; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), human anticientromere antibody (ACA; Antibodies Incorporated), anti-Mis12 (A300-776A; Abcam), anti-Mad2 (ab24588; Abcam), anti-α-tubulin (T-6557; Sigma), anti-β-actin (A-5441; Sigma), anti-Flag (F-3165; Sigma), anti-green fluorescent protein (anti-GFP; A11227; Invitrogen), and anti-phospho-histone H3 (06-570; Millipore).

IP. Whole-cell lysates were incubated with various antibodies as indicated for each experiment for 1 h at 4°C, followed by 1-h incubation with protein A/G-Sepharose beads. After immunocomplexes were resolved by SDS-PAGE, coimmunoprecipitated (co-IP) proteins were detected by Western blotting with antibodies as indicated for the specific experiments.

Immunofluorescence and image quantification. For immunofluorescence (IF) and image quantification, cells were grown on coverslips under the culture conditions described above, treated with PHEM buffer [60 mM piperazine-N,N'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid), 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, and 2 mM MgCl2; pH 6.9] plus 1% Triton X-100 for 5 min, fixed in PHEM buffer with 4% formaldehyde, and blocked in phosphate-buffered saline with 5% bovine serum albumin and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h. Primary and secondary antibody incubations were conducted for 1 h at room temperature. For cold-stable kinetochore fiber analysis, cells were incubated on ice for the indicated times, then fixed for 10 min on ice, followed by 10 min at room temperature with PHEM buffer containing 4% formaldehyde and 0.2% Triton X-100 (22). Cells were then processed as described above. Goat anti-mouse IgG–Alexa Fluor 594, 498, or 688 (Invitrogen) or goat anti-rabbit IgG–Alexa Fluor 594 or 498 (Invitrogen) was used at 1:200 dilutions. IF images were collected at room temperature with a Nikon C1 + microscope equipped with a 60×, 1.4 numerical aperture oil immersion lens. Measurements of kinetochore intensities were conducted with maximum intensity projections of images. Kinetochore exposure settings were held constant within each group of experiments, and kinetochore signals within each figure are scaled equally. Maximal kinetochore intensities and corresponding background levels were measured from radial 7-by-7-pixel areas for each kinetochore focus, using ImageJ. Minima of 5 cells and 20 kinetochore foci per cell were measured for each condition (each experiment was replicated a minimum of three times). Kinetochore focus intensities were then pooled within a given experiment, and the mean kinetochore fluorescence intensity was calculated. Statistical significance was evaluated by using a two-tailed Student t test, and results with P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The correlation between Sgt1 signal and Plk1 signal at individual kinetochores was measured by Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r) for >0.9 was considered highly linear correlation.

Time-lapse video microscopy for live cells. HeLa cells only expressing Flag–Sgt1-WT, -S302Am, or -S302E were used for time-lapse video microscopy of live cells. Image acquisition was performed with a Nikon A1R microscope equipped with a 20× lens and enclosed in a chamber to maintain temperature for live cells. During filming, cells were maintained in HEPES-buffered DMEM at 37°C. After treatment with Hoechst 33342, cells were tracked for 5 h, and images were acquired at 7-min intervals with Nikon software.

RESULTS
Sgt1 dynamically localizes at the kinetochores during mitosis.

To explore Sgt1 function, we developed a polyclonal antibody against Sgt1 to study its subcellular localization. By using this antibody in IF staining, we found that Sgt1 displayed a diffuse pattern in paraformaldehyde-fixed HeLa cells during mitosis (Fig. 1A), consistent with the previous report of Sgt1 as a highly soluble protein (36). In order to rigorously test whether Sgt1 associates with any specific subcellular structure, cells were extracted with the PHEM buffer containing detergent (1% Triton X-100) before fixation with paraformaldehyde (see Materials and Methods). We then observed that Sgt1 was present as dots in variable numbers and staining intensities during prometaphase. Significantly, these dots colocalized with centromeres, suggesting that Sgt1 is recruited at the kinetochores. The number of dots decreased as the cell proceeded from prometaphase to metaphase, whereas no dots colocalizing with centromeres were detected in either prophase or metaphase (Fig. 1B).

As kinetochore-microtubule attachment is being established during prometaphase, it is possible that kinetochore localization of Sgt1 is determined by the presence or absence of microtubules at kinetochores. To test this hypothesis, we treated cells with nocodazole to disrupt microtubules, or with paclitaxel (originally named taxol) to stabilize microtubules and then examined Sgt1 localization by IF staining. Upon nocodazole treatment, all the kinetochores were strongly labeled by Sgt1. In contrast, Sgt1 was not present at kinetochores after paclitaxel treatment (Fig. 1C). These data suggest that Sgt1 is recruited to the kinetochores that lack microtubule attachments. In a second approach to test our hypothesis, Sgt1 localization was examined in cells treated with monastrol, which does not interfere with microtubule dynamics. Cells form monopolar spindles after monastrol treatment (28). In these cells, Sgt1 only localized at the kinetochores lacking microtubule attachments, but not at the kinetochores with microtubules (Fig. 1D), further confirming that Sgt1 specifically localizes at unattached kinetochores.

To validate the specificity of this anti-Sgt1 antibody, we first applied RNAi to deplete endogenous Sgt1 in HeLa cells. In immuno-blot analysis, this antibody recognized a doublet from lysates of control HeLa cells, corresponding to two splicing isoforms of Sgt1, whereas no dots colocalizing with centromeres were detected in prophase or metaphase (Fig. 1E, left panel), suggesting that the kinetochore dots were not detectable after Sgt1 RNAi upon nocodazole treatment (Fig. 1E, right panel). In IF staining experiments with this antibody, previously observed kinetochore foci were undetectable after Sgt1 RNAi upon nocodazole treatment (Fig. 1F). Further, this antibody detected Sgt1 at the kinetochores in multiple cell lines (Fig. 1F), including several cancer cell lines, such as U2OS (a human osteosarcoma cell line), PC-3 (a human prostate cancer cell line), and MCF-7 (a human breast cancer cell line), and nontransformed cells, such as RWPE-1 (an immortalized human prostate cell line). Besides the Sgt1 staining observed with this antibody, we also generated a U2OS cell line that stably expressed GFP-Sgt1, and with it we were able to detect GFP-Sgt1 at the kinetochores after nocodazole treatment (Fig. 1G). Taking these observations together, we conclude that Sgt1 dynamically localizes at the kinetochores that lack microtubule attachments during prometaphase.

Plk1 phosphorylates Sgt1 at serine 331. Proteomic screening identified Sgt1 as an interacting partner of Plk1 during mitosis (26). We were able to detect the interaction between Sgt1 and Plk1 in both overexpressed proteins (Fig. 2B) and endogenous proteins (Fig. 2A). Moreover, purified GST-Sgt1 was able to pull down Plk1 from mitotic cell extracts (Fig. 2C).
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Next we asked whether Sgt1 is a substrate of Plk1. In an in vitro kinase assay, murine Sgt1 amino acids 161 to 336 served as a robust substrate for Plk1 (Fig. 2D). Subsequently, serine 302 of murine Sgt1 (corresponding to serine 331 of human Sgt1) was identified as the Plk1 phosphorylation site in vitro by site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 2E and F). To test whether Sgt1 is phosphorylated by Plk1 in cells, a polyclonal antibody directed against a peptide encompassing phosphoserine 331 of human Sgt1 (p-Sgt1) was generated (see Materials and Methods). After incubation with Plk1, only wild-type murine Sgt1 (Sgt1-wt), but not S302A, was recognized by the p-Sgt1 antibody, suggesting that serine 302 of murine Sgt1 is directly phosphorylated by Plk1 in vitro (Fig. 2G). Moreover, murine Sgt1-wt, but not S302A, expressed in HEK 293T cells was recognized by the p-Sgt1 antibody (Fig. 2H), indicating that the phosphorylation event occurs in cells. The p-Sgt1 epitope was lost upon phosphatase treatment of lysates from cells expressing GFP–Sgt1-wt, confirming the specificity of this antibody for phosphorylated Sgt1 (Fig. 2I). Most importantly, the p-Sgt1 antibody identified the phosphorylated form of human Sgt1, including two isoforms in lysates from HeLa cells treated with nocodazole but not from Plk1-depleted cells (Fig. 2J) or from Plk1-inhibited cells (treated with BI 2536) (Fig. 2K), suggesting that endogenous human Sgt1 is phosphorylated at serine 331 in a Plk1-dependent manner. The surrounding sequence of
FIG 2 Plk1 phosphorylates Sgt1 at serine 331. (A) HeLa cells were treated with nocodazole for 8 h and harvested for IP with anti-Sgt1 antibody, followed by Western blot (WB) analysis. (B) HEK 293T cells were transfected with GFP-Sgt1 or Flag-Plk1 wild type (WT) or K82M (KM; kinase-dead mutant), treated with nocodazole for 8 h, and harvested for IP with anti-Flag antibody, followed by WB analysis. (C) Purified GST-Sgt1 or GST proteins bound on glutathione beads were incubated with mitotic cell extracts prepared from nocodazole-treated HeLa cells. The reaction mixture was resolved by SDS-PAGE, followed by WB. The bottom panel shows CBB staining results. (D to K) Plk1 phosphorylates human Sgt1 at serine 331 in vitro and in vivo. (D, upper panel) Schematic representation of mouse Sgt1. TPR, tetratricopeptide repeat domain; CS, Chord- and Sgt1-specific domain; SGS, Sgt1-specific domain. (Lower panel) Purified fragments of murine Sgt1 (amino acids 1 to 160 and 161 to 336) were incubated with Plk1 in the presence of [γ-32P]ATP. Then, the reaction mixture was resolved by SDS-PAGE, stained with CBB, and proteins were detected by autoradiography. (E) The indicated forms of murine GST–Sgt1-161-336 were subjected to an in vitro Plk1 kinase assay as described for panel D. (F) Purified murine full-length GST–Sgt1-WT or -S302A was subjected to an in vitro Plk1 kinase assay as for panel D. (G) Purified murine GST–Sgt1-WT or -S302A was incubated with or without Plk1 in the presence of unlabeled ATP. Then, the reaction mixture was resolved by SDS-PAGE, followed by WB. (H) HEK 293T cells were transfected with murine Sgt1 constructs (GFP–Sgt1-WT or -S302A) and treated with nocodazole, followed by WB. (I) Cell extracts prepared as for panel H were treated with λ-phosphatase, followed by WB. (J) HeLa cells were depleted of Plk1 with siRNA, treated with nocodazole, and harvested for WB analysis. (K) HeLa cells were treated with 100 nM BI 2536 or the carrier (dimethyl sulfoxide [DMSO]) for 1 h or 3 h and harvested for WB analysis. (L) Alignment of Sgt1 protein sequences containing the equivalent of serine 331 in different species. (M) Purified murine GST–Sgt1 (WT or S302A) was subjected to an in vitro Ck2 kinase assay as for panel D. (N) HEK 293T cells were transfected with GFP–Sgt1. After transfection, cells were treated with BI2536, TBB (a Ck2 inhibitor), or both drugs for 12 h, and then harvested for WB analysis. (O) HeLa cells were synchronized with a double thymidine block (DTB; 16 h of treatment with thymidine, 8 h of release, and a second 16-h block with thymidine) at the G1/S boundary. After release from the second block for 5 h, cells were treated with BI2536, TBB, or both drugs for an additional 3 h or 7 h and then harvested for WB analysis.
Serine 331 fits the newly defined Plk1 consensus phosphorylation motif: [D/N/E]-X-S/T-[F/V/P; no P]-[Φ/X] (1, 20). Serine 331 is highly conserved across species (Fig. 2L), indicating a potential functional significance. Therefore, we used murine Sgt1 to generate various constructs (WT, S302A, or S302E) for use in further experiments, as these constructs, when expressed in human cells, are RNAi resistant. It has been reported that budding yeast Sgt1 is phosphorylated at serine 361 by Ck2 (3); however, Ck2 is not responsible for phosphorylation of serine 331 on human Sgt1 (Fig. 2M to O).

Plk1 is required for Sgt1 localization at unattached kinetochores. Plk1 is detected at centromeres in the G2 phase and enriches at kinetochores during prometaphase (33). We asked whether kinetochore localization of Sgt1 is affected by Plk1 or vice versa. As shown in Fig. 3A, the Sgt1 signal was reduced to 10% compared to control RNAi cells after Plk1 depletion, whereas Sgt1 depletion exerted no notable effect on kinetochore localization of Plk1. These data suggest that Plk1 is required for Sgt1 to localize at the kinetochores. To understand how Sgt1 is recruited at the kinetochores by Plk1, we first tested whether phosphorylation of Sgt1 is required for its kinetochore localization; endogenous Sgt1 was replaced with murine Flag-Sgt1 wild type (WT), S302A (unphosphorylatable mutant), or S302E (phosphorylation-mimick-
ing by cotransfection of HeLa cells with Sgt1 siRNA and the Flag-Sgt1 constructs mentioned above. The replacing efficiency was examined by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 3B). Both Sgt1-WT- and Sgt1-S302A-expressing cells showed comparable levels of Sgt1 signals at kinetochores after nocodazole treatment (Fig. 3C), suggesting that Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 is not required for its kinetochore localization. It has been established that the Polo-box domain of Plk1 mediates its binding to its substrates and that this binding is often dependent on the initial phosphorylation by a priming kinase, such as Cdk1, to generate a docking site (S-SP/TP-P/X) for the Polo-box domain to recognize (4). Since Sgt1 was identified as a Polo-box domain-interacting protein (26) and was reported to be phosphorylated by Cdk1 (15), we next tested whether Plk1 binding to Sgt1 is regulated by Cdk1 phosphorylation. As shown in Fig. 3D, inhibition of Cdk1 kinase activity reduced the binding between Plk1 and Sgt1. Moreover, Sgt1 kinetochore localization was abolished after Cdk1 inhibition (Fig. 3E). The priming phosphorylation site of Sgt1 fits the PBD recognition motif (Fig. 3F). These data suggest that recruitment of Sgt1 at the kinetochores by Plk1 depends on the priming phosphorylation of Sgt1 by Cdk1 but not the phosphorylation by Plk1. Further, when cells were treated with BI 2536, which was able to decrease Plk1 kinetochore localization (23), we observed that the level of Sgt1 was proportional to that of diminished Plk1 at kinetochores under BI 2536 treatment (Fig. 3G). Taken together, these data support the notion that Plk1 is required for Sgt1 kinetochore localization in a kinase activity-independent manner.

Temporal and spatial regulation of Sgt1 phosphorylation at serine 331. Next, we used the p-Sgt1 antibody to characterize the temporal and spatial regulation of this phosphorylation event. As shown in Fig. 4A, phosphorylation of serine 331 was detected at 8 h after release from thymidine block, decreased at 13 h, and peaked in nocodazole-treated cells. Generation of the p-Sgt1 epitope correlated with the activity level of Plk1, which peaks in prometaphase (11). Thus, these data suggest that Sgt1 is mainly phosphorylated during prometaphase. To examine the dephosphorylation of Sgt1, cells were enriched at prometaphase by nocodazole treatment and released into fresh medium for different time periods. Dephosphorylation of serine 331 occurred slightly later than dephosphorylation of histone H3 (Fig. 4B), which begins from anaphase (14), suggesting that dephosphorylation of serine 331 likely occurs at the end of mitosis. In short, we found that Sgt1 is phosphorylated at serine 331, predominantly during prometaphase.

The phospho-specific antibody was then used to examine the subcellular localization of the phosphorylated form of Sgt1 by using IF staining. Consistent with the results obtained by immunoblotting, phosphorylation of Sgt1 was mainly observed in prometaphase and was present as dots that colocalized with centromeres (Fig. 4C). Overall phosphorylation of Sgt1 was very low in prophase, and no phosphorylation of Sgt1 at kinetochores was detected in metaphase cells. To validate the specificity of this antibody in IF staining, endogenous Sgt1 was depleted by RNAi, and the phosphorylation signal at kinetochores in prometaphase cells was abolished (Fig. 4D), suggesting that kinetochore dots detected by this antibody in IF staining represent Sgt1 signal. Moreover, when the cells were treated with BI 2536 for a short period (1 h), the phosphorylation signal of Sgt1 at kinetochores was also abolished (Fig. 4E), indicating that Sgt1 at kinetochores is phosphorylated by Plk1. To test whether kinetochore localization of Sgt1 is a prerequisite step for its phosphorylation at kinetochores, we compared the phosphorylation level of Sgt1 upon nocodazole treatment with paclitaxel treatment, as Sgt1 is recruited at the kinetochores by nocodazole treatment but not paclitaxel treatment (Fig. 1C). As shown in Fig. 4F, Sgt1 phosphorylation was significantly induced by nocodazole treatment but not by paclitaxel treatment. Thus, these data suggest that Plk1 phosphorylates Sgt1 at kinetochores predominantly during prometaphase, when kinetochore-microtubule attachment is being established.

Phosphorylation of Sgt1 is required for proper mitotic progression. We next sought to define the contribution of this mitotic phosphorylation event. Endogenous Sgt1 was replaced with murine Flag-Sgt1 constructs (WT, S302A, or S302E) in HeLa cells and then subjected to time-lapse imaging to follow mitotic progression. As shown in Fig. 5A, from chromosome condensation to decondensation, cells expressing Flag--Sgt1-WT completed mitosis within 60 min, whereas cells expressing Sgt1-S302A required 120 min and cells expressing Flag--Sgt1-S302E needed 85 min. In particular, anaphase onset in Sgt1-S302A-expressing cells was delayed by about 50 min (Fig. 5A and B; see also Movies S1 to S3 in the supplemental material), reminiscent of the Plk1 depletion-induced delay of anaphase onset in which the SAC is activated (38).

To further examine the attachment process in cells expressing different forms of Sgt1 (WT, S302A, or S302E), we disrupted microtubule polymerization by nocodazole treatment and released cells into fresh medium, thus allowing regrowth of microtubules from centrosomes. Microtubules emanating from centrosomes stochastically search and capture kinetochores (18, 29, 30). Mad2 is used as a read-out signal for kinetochores that either lack microtubule attachment or are not fully occupied by microtubules (17, 41). To carefully analyze the results, we defined 0 to 5 Mad2-positive kinetochore foci within one cell as full establishment of attachments, 6 to 20 foci as half of kinetochores with establishment of attachments, and >20 foci as full activation of SAC with severe lack of attachments. As shown in Fig. 5C, Mad2 was re-recruited onto most kinetochore foci in cells expressing Flag--Sgt1-WT, -S302A, or -S302E upon nocodazole treatment (time zero), suggesting that this phosphorylation event did not affect SAC activation. After release for 60 min, 80% of cells expressing Flag--Sgt1-WT or -S302E fully established attachments, but only 35% of cells expressing Flag--Sgt1-S302A showed 0 to 5 foci, indicating a slower establishment of microtubule attachment. After release for 40 min, 80% of cells expressing Flag--Sgt1-WT or -S302E fully established attachments, but only 35% of cells expressing Flag--Sgt1-S302A had 0 to 5 Mad2 foci, suggesting that phosphorylation of Sgt1 promotes the kinetochore-microtubule attachment process.

Phosphorylation of Sgt1 contributes to stable kinetochore-microtubule attachments. Plk1 is required for the formation of stable kinetochore-microtubule attachments, so-called kinetochore fibers (23). We hypothesized that Plk1 regulates the kinetochore-microtubule attachment via targeting Sgt1. Thus, we asked whether Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 stabilizes kinetochore fibers (K-fibers). Endogenous Sgt1 was replaced with Flag--Sgt1-WT, -S302A, or -S302E in HeLa cells, and the presence of cold-stable kinetochore fibers was examined as previously described (22). Without cold treatment, 30% of Sgt1-depleted cells showed misalignment of chromosomes. While Flag--Sgt1-WT and -S302E were able to rescue this alignment defect, 25% of cells
expressing Sgt1-S302A showed misalignment of chromosomes (Fig. 6B), reflecting a consequence of the attachment defect we observed in Fig. 5C. After 15 min of cold treatment, most ACA-positive kinetochores were attached with K-fibers in cells expressing Sgt1-WT or -302E, but there were significantly fewer ACA-positive kinetochores in cells depleted of Sgt1 alone or expressing Sgt1-S302A. Furthermore, K-fibers were almost completely removed after 30 min of cold treatment in cells depleted of Sgt1.
Phosphorylation of Sgt1 is required for proper mitotic progression. (A) HeLa cells were simultaneously transfected with murine Flag-Sgt1 constructs (WT, S302A, or S302E) and Sgt1 siRNA as described for Fig. 3B. Then, cells were subjected to analysis with time-lapse video microscopy to follow mitotic progress. Images were acquired at the indicated times after the start of chromosome condensation. (B) Histogram indicating the time that elapsed between the beginning of chromosome condensation and chromosome decondensation in treated cells in panel A. Results represent the averages of three independent experiments (± standard errors; n = 50 cells/condition). (C) HeLa cells were simultaneously transfected with the indicated murine Flag-Sgt1 construct and Sgt1 siRNA as for panel A and synchronized by a double thymidine block. After release from the second thymidine block, the cells were treated with nocodazole for 8 h and released into fresh medium for 0, 30, or 60 min, followed by immunofluorescence staining with antibody against Mad2 and ACA. To quantify Mad2 staining results, the Mad2-positive cells were divided into three groups: cells with 0 to 5 kinetochore foci, those with 6 to 20 foci, and those with >20 foci (right panel). Results represent the averages of three independent experiments (± standard errors; n > 100 cells/condition). Bar, 10 μm.
Phosphorylation of Sgt1 facilitates kinetochore localization of the MIS12 complex. Next, we sought to investigate the mechanism pertinent to the kinetochore-microtubule attachment defect in cells expressing the Sgt1 unphosphorylatable mutant. As the Hsp90–Sgt1 chaperone mediates the kinetochore assembly of the MIS12 complex to facilitate microtubule-binding site formation (8), we first examined the MIS12 complex at kinetochores in cells expressing the various forms of Sgt1. Consistent with the previous report (8), depletion of Sgt1 in control HeLa cells reduced the Dsn1 signal at kinetochores. Sgt1-WT, but not Sgt1-S302A, rescued the decrease of Dsn1 after endogenous Sgt1 depletion (Fig. 7A and F). Importantly, the other MIS12 components at kinetochores were also decreased in cells expressing Sgt1-S302A (Nsl1, Nnf1, and Mis12 were decreased by 36%, 43%, and 38%, respectively), whereas Sgt1-WT and S302E were able to rescue the Sgt1 depletion defect (Fig. 5B to D and F), indicating that Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 stabilizes the MIS12 complex at kinetochores. The three subunits (KNL1, MIS12, and NDC80) in the KMN network show interdependence on kinetochore localization (7). To assess the effect of this phosphorylation event on other subunits of KMN, we examined kinetochore Hec1, one component of the NDC80 complex that directly contributes to microtubule binding (9). A 50% decrease of Hec1 in cells depleted of Sgt1 alone or expressing Sgt1-S302A was also observed (Fig. 7E and F). Overall, we observed kinetochore localization defects among KMN network proteins in cells expressing the Sgt1 unphosphorylatable mutant (Fig. 7F), providing one mechanistic explanation for the function of Plk1 on stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment.

Since it was reported that Sgt1 also stabilizes Polo to promote centrosome maturation in Drosophila melanogaster (27), we then examined whether the Plk1 protein level at kinetochores is affected by Sgt1 phosphorylation. Plk1 at kinetochores was not reduced in cells expressing Sgt1-S302A compared to cells expressing Sgt1-WT or -S302E (data not shown). We also tested whether Sgt1 phosphorylation affects centrosome integrity, because dysfunction of the centrosome can impair microtubule dynamics, thus resulting in kinetochore attachment defects. Although centrosome fragmentation was observed in Sgt1-depleted cells, centrosomes were intact in cells expressing Sgt1-S302A (data not shown), indicating that microtubule attachment defects after inhibition of Sgt1 phosphorylation are not due to dysfunction of the centrosome. In short, we concluded that Sgt1 phosphorylation by Plk1 stabilizes the MIS12 and NDC80 complexes at kinetochores, thus promoting stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment.

Plk1 is required for kinetochore localization of the MIS12 complex. We further directly evaluated the effect of Plk1 on the MIS12 complex at kinetochores, as Plk1 is required for Sgt1 kinetochore localization and phosphorylates Sgt1 at the kinetochores (Fig. 2 and 3). HeLa cells were depleted of Plk1 by RNAi and then subjected to IF staining with antibodies against four components of the MIS12 complex. As shown in Fig. 8A to D, kinetochore localizations of these components were decreased after Plk1 depletion (50% decrease compared to control cells). Further, Hec1 was also reduced in Plk1-depleted cells (Fig. 8E and F). This result suggests that Plk1 is required for kinetochore localization of the
MIS12 complex, and thus identification of Sgt1 as a Plk1 substrate provides one direct explanation for the kinetochore defects of the MIS12 and NDC80 complexes in Plk1-depleted cells. We also analyzed the kinetochore localizations of the MIS12 complex and Hec1 in cells inhibited for Plk1 activity, and a similar defect was observed (Fig. 8G). By comparing the defect of the kinetochore MIS12 complex in cells expressing Sgt1-S302A with that in cells inhibited for Plk1 (Fig. 7F and 8G), expression of Sgt1-S302A

FIG 7 Phosphorylation of Sgt1 facilitates kinetochore localization of the MIS12 complex. (A to E) HeLa cells were treated as described for Fig. 3C and then subjected to IF staining with antibodies against the components of the MIS12 complex: Dsn1 (A), Nsl1 (B), Nnf1 (C), Mis12 (D), or the NDC80 component Hec1 (E). Centromeres were stained with ACA. (F) Fluorescence signal intensities of these proteins at kinetochores were quantified as described for Fig. 3A. The mean fluorescence intensities for cells with Sgt1-WT were set to 100%, and the fractions of signal remaining in other cells were calculated. Bar, 10 μm.
resulted in a similar decrease of the MIS12 complex at the kinetochores, as did Plk1 inhibition, suggesting that Sgt1 is the major target of Plk1 in the regulation of the kinetochore MIS12 complex. Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of serine 331 on Sgt1 enhances its association with the MIS12 complex. To investigate the mechanism by which disruption of Sgt1 phosphorylation destabilizes the MIS12 complex at kinetochores, we first examined whether the association of Sgt1 with the MIS12 components was affected by phosphorylation of serine 331, as Sgt1 serves as a co-chaperone to recruit the MIS12 complex to Hsp90 for kinetochore assembly (8). Among the components of the MIS12 complex, Dsn1 and Nsl1 have been shown to directly interact with Sgt1 (8). We overexpressed either Dsn1 or Nsl1 with Sgt1 to disrupt the stoichiometry within the MIS12 complex, thus allowing us to access the binding mainly between Sgt1 and one of these two proteins. Briefly, HEK 293T cells were transfected with yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-Dsn1 and Flag-Sgt1 constructs, treated with nocodazole to arrest at mitosis, and harvested for IP. A 50% reduced level of binding between Flag-Sgt1 and YFP-Dsn1 was detected in the presence of Sgt1-S302A but not Sgt1-WT or Sgt1-

**FIG 8** Plk1 is required for kinetochore localization of the MIS12 complex. (A to E) HeLa cells were transfected with control or Plk1 siRNAs and then subjected to IF staining with antibodies against the four components of the MIS12 complex: Dsn1 (A), Nsl1 (B), Nnf1 (C), Mis12 (D), or the NDC80 component Hec1 (E). Centromeres were stained with ACA. (F) Fluorescence signal intensities of these proteins at kinetochores were quantified as described for Fig. 3A. The mean fluorescence intensities for control cells were set to 100%, and the fractions of signal remaining for cells after Plk1 RNAi treatment were calculated. Bar, 10 μm. (G) HeLa cells were treated with 100 nM BI 2536 or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 1 h and then subjected to IF staining. Fluorescence signal intensities of these proteins at kinetochores were quantified as described for panel F.
S302E (Fig. 9A), suggesting that Plk1 phosphorylation of Sgt1 enhances the binding between Sgt1 and Dsn1. But we did not observe a binding defect between overexpressed Flag–Sgt1-S302A with YFP-Nsl1 (data not shown), indicating that Plk1 phosphorylation of Sgt1 regulates mainly Sgt1 binding with Dsn1. To confirm this finding using endogenous proteins, HeLa cells were arrested in mitosis with or without Plk1 inhibitor and harvested for IP experiments. Consistent with the results in Fig. 9A, inhibition of Plk1 resulted in significantly decreased binding of Sgt1 with Dsn1 (Fig. 9B).

To directly test whether phosphorylation of Sgt1 enhances its binding with Dsn1, purified GST-Sgt1 was phosphorylated by recombinant Plk1 in vitro first and then was used to pull down Dsn1 from mitotic cell lysates. As shown in Fig. 9C, there was a 2.5-fold increase in the amount of Dsn1 pulled down by Sgt1 after the phosphorylation reaction, compared to unphosphorylated Sgt1, but the amount of Hsp90 pulled down remained the same after Sgt1 phosphorylation. These data support the notion that Plk1 phosphorylation of Sgt1 enhances its binding with Dsn1. It has been reported that depletion of Sgt1 reduces the kinetochore localizations and the protein levels of four components of the MIS12 complex (8). However, we did not observe a notable reduction of total protein levels among the MIS12 complex either in cells expressing unphosphorylatable mutant (S302A) or in Plk1-inhibited cells (data not shown). Therefore, we propose that Sgt1 phosphorylation specifically regulates the formation of the MIS12 complex at kinetochores but not the protein stability. Thus, we examined the formation of the MIS12 complex with the Sgt1-S302A mutant. As shown in Fig. 9D, less Dsn1 as well as other components of the MIS12 complex (Nsl1, Nnf1, and Mis12) were coimmunoprecipitated with Sgt1-S302A than Sgt1-WT. These data support the notion that formation of the MIS12 complex is regulated by Sgt1 phosphorylation. In total, these data provide a molecular explanation that Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 facilitates stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment through enhancement of the recruitment of the MIS12 complex to the Hsp90 chaperone for kinetochore assembly.

To evaluate the significance of Hsp90 activity on the function of Sgt1 phosphorylation, mock HeLa cells (controls) or cells expressing Flag-Sgt1 (WT, S302A, or S302E) were treated with an Hsp90 inhibitor (17-allylamino-geldanamycin [17-AAG]), and kinetochore localizations of the MIS12 components were examined. We reasoned that cells expressing Sgt1-WT or Sgt1-S302E should have different responses to Hsp90 inhibition compared to cells expressing Sgt1-S302A, if phosphorylation of Sgt1 functions independently of Hsp90 activity. As shown in Fig. 9E and F, inhibition of Hsp90 in control cells reduced the signal of Dsn1 to 70% and Nnf1 to 50% at kinetochores, as previously reported (8). Cells expressing Sgt1-WT, -S302A, or -S302E showed similar decreases in the levels of DSN1 or Nnf1 at kinetochores as control HeLa cells did after 17-AAG treatment, and no different responses were observed among these cells, suggesting that the function of Sgt1 phosphorylation depends on Hsp90 activity.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, we aimed to understand how Plk1 regulates the stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment to ensure accurate chromosome segregation. We identified Sgt1, a cochaperone of Hsp90 that is involved in the kinetochore assembly process, as a Plk1 substrate. We found that Sgt1 transiently localized at the kineto-chores that lack microtubule attachments during prometaphase. Importantly, the Plk1 protein is essential for the kinetochore localization of Sgt1 and phosphorylates Sgt1 at kinetochores during prometaphase. This phosphorylation event enhances the association of the Hsp90-Sgt1 chaperone with the MIS12 complex to stabilize this complex at kinetochores, and thus recruits the NDC80 complex at kinetochores to mediate stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment. We propose that Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 is critical for the formation of stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment.

**Localization of Sgt1 at prometaphase kinetochores.** Sgt1 has been reported to be a highly soluble protein with cytoplasmic and nuclear localizations (36). To further explore Sgt1 function, we rigorously examined the subcellular localization of human Sgt1. After we extracted the soluble portion of Sgt1 and then stained cells for IF with a newly developed anti-Sgt1 antibody, Sgt1 was found to transiently localize at the kinetochores during prometaphase but was lost by the time chromosomes aligned on the metaphase plate (Fig. 1B), which was concomitant with kinetochore-microtubule attachment. Further, Sgt1 was only observed at the kinetochores that were not attached by microtubules (Fig. 1C and D). These observations support a role of Sgt1 in the *de novo* formation of kinetochore-microtubule attachment. It has also been reported that Sgt1 depletion by RNAi results in reduction of kinetochore signals for inner kinetochore proteins (CENP-H, CENP-I, and CENP-K), in addition to outer kinetochore proteins (the MIS12 complex and the NDC80 complex) (36). Considering that these inner kinetochore proteins are constitutively loaded at centromeres during interphase (13), this prometaphase-specific portion of Sgt1 at the kinetochores is not likely responsible for their localization. How Sgt1 recruits these inner kinetochore proteins requires further investigations.

**A novel role of Plk1 in kinetochore-microtubule attachment.** On the outer kinetochore, the KNL1, MIS12, and NDC80 complexes form the KMN network, which produces core binding sites for microtubules (6). Plk1 is required to establish and maintain stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment (23, 33), but the precise mechanism remains unclear. Several kinetochore proteins have been identified as Plk1 targets, mainly to illustrate how Plk1 facilitates stable kinetochore-microtubule attachment through a kinase activity-independent manner (Fig. 3). This localization dependence of Sgt1 on Plk1 protein defines one of the possible multiple contributions of Plk1 in mediating kinetochore-microtubule attachment.

We further showed that Plk1 phosphorylates Sgt1 at the kinetochores during prometaphase (Fig. 4). Because both Sgt1-WT and Sgt1-S302A can localize at the kinetochores (Fig. 3C), this phosphorylation event is not required for the kinetochore localization of Sgt1. Based on the combination of the IF staining results of the MIS12 complex (Fig. 7) and biochemical analysis results with Sgt1 (Fig. 9), we propose that Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 enhances the association of the Hsp90-Sgt1 chaperone with the MIS12 complex, resulting in proper assembly of the MIS12 complex at the kinetochores (Fig. 10). Disruption of this phosphorylation reduced the MIS12 complex at kinetochore foci (Fig. 7) but did not affect the overall protein level of the MIS12 complex (Fig.
Reduction of the kinetochore MIS12 complex further decreases the NDC80 complex at the kinetochores (Fig. 7E), which directly binds to and stabilizes microtubules (9). Our interpretation for this result is that phosphorylation of Sgt1 facilitates kinetochore assembly of the MIS12 complex, by which the appropriate interaction between MIS12 components is formed to provide a suitable binding surface for the NDC80 complex (Fig. 10). Lack of Sgt1 phosphorylation results in defects in the KMN network (Fig. 50).

**FIG 9** Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 enhances its association with the MIS12 complex. (A) HEK 293T cells were cotransfected with Flag-Sgt1 constructs (WT, S302A, and S302E) and YFP-Dsn1. After 12 h of nocodazole treatment, cells were harvested for IP with antibodies against GFP, followed by WB analysis. The amounts of immunoprecipitated proteins were quantified by measurement of the fluorescence intensity by using ImageJ and are presented as the percentage of signal from the Sgt1-WT sample. Differences in the amounts of protein used in the IP were normalized to the input signal. Results represent the averages of three independent experiments. (B) HeLa cells were treated with BI 2536 and nocodazole or nocodazole alone for 2 h and harvested for anti-Dsn1 IP, followed by WB analysis. The amounts of immunoprecipitated proteins were quantified as for panel A. Results represent the averages of three independent experiments. (C) GST-Sgt1 bound on the glutathione beads was preincubated in the kinase reaction buffer with or without Plk1, followed by incubation with mitotic cell extracts prepared from nocodazole-treated HeLa cells. The complex associated with beads was identified by WB and was quantified as described for panel A. Results represent the averages of three independent experiments. (D) HEK 293T cells were transfected with Flag-Sgt1 constructs (WT or S302A). After 12 h of nocodazole treatment, cells were harvested for IP with antibodies against GFP, followed by WB analysis. (E) HeLa cells were transfected with Flag-Sgt1 constructs (WT, S302A, or S302E), treated with the Hsp90 inhibitor 17-AAG or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 24 h, and subjected to IF staining with antibodies against Dsn1 or centromeres (ACA). The fluorescence intensities of Dsn1 on kinetochores were quantified as described for Fig. 7E. Bar, 10 μm. (F) Quantification of the fluorescence intensities of Nnf1 in the treated cells shown in panel E.

9D). Reduction of the kinetochore MIS12 complex further decreases the NDC80 complex at the kinetochores (Fig. 7E), which directly binds to and stabilizes microtubules (9). Our interpretation for this result is that phosphorylation of Sgt1 facilitates kinetochore assembly of the MIS12 complex, by which the appropriate interaction between MIS12 components is formed to provide a suitable binding surface for the NDC80 complex (Fig. 10). Lack of Sgt1 phosphorylation results in defects in the KMN network (Fig.
FIG 10 Model to illustrate how Plk1-mediated phosphorylation of Sgt1 facilitates kinetochores-microtubule attachment. First, Plk1 recruits Sgt1 at kinetochores during prometaphase. Subsequent phosphorylation of Sgt1 by Plk1 increases the chaperoning activity of Hsp90-Sgt1 toward the MIS12 complex by enhancing the association of Sgt1 and Dsn1, thus stabilizing the MIS12 complex at the kinetochore. NDC80 is consequently recruited to form core microtubule-binding sites at kinetochores.

7), impairment of kinetochore fiber formation (Fig. 6A), chromosome misalignment (Fig. 6B), and delay of anaphase onset (Fig. 5A). These results provide one direct molecular mechanism as to how Plk1 regulates kinetochore-microtubule attachment through phosphorylation of Sgt1. Based on comparison of the defects of the kinetochore MIS12 complex and Hec1 in Plk1-inhibited cells (Fig. 8G) with Sgt1-S302A-expressing cells (Fig. 7F), inhibition of Plk1 resulted in similar defects of the kinetochore MIS12 complex and Hec1 as did expression of Sgt1-S302A, suggesting that Sgt1 is the major target of Plk1 for efficient microtubule binding site formation mediated by the MIS12 complex and Hec1. Although depletion of Plk1 greatly decreased the Sgt1 kinetochore signal to 10% of control (Fig. 3A), the effect of Plk1 depletion on the recruitment of the MIS12 complex was moderate (Fig. 8F). This is because Sgt1 depletion only caused a 50% decrease of Dsn1, one component of the MIS12 complex (8), suggesting that the MIS12 complex (at least Dsn1) is also recruited at kinetochores in an Sgt1-independent manner.
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